REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the restriction requirement made in the Office Action dated 05/02/2006, the applicant hereby respectfully traverses that restriction requirement.

The <u>only</u> rationale proposed by the Examiner to support this restriction requirement is:

In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a process of storing the navigation data received from a control center to a computer medium and then displaying such data on a display device.

The undersigned cannot find anything in apparatus claim 1 that has anything to do with displaying data on a display device. Indeed, independent method claim 10 is closely modeled on apparatus claim 1. As explained on page 10 of the last response, new method claims 10-15 are closely analogous to apparatus claims 1-6 respectively.

In short, the Examiner has <u>not</u> demonstrated either: (1) that the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the outstanding restriction requirement is clearly erroneous and its withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Nevertheless, in an effort to be responsive in any event, and subject to the above explained traversal, applicant hereby elects what the Examiner has identified as invention I comprising claims 1-6 and 14.

OKADA Appl. No. 10/678,090 May 17, 2006

The above amendment of the claims is for the purpose of correcting minor recently noted typographical errors.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

3y:

Larry S. Nixon Rog. No. 25,640

LSN:vc

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100